

## Grievance Mechanism and Legal Dispute Resolution for Medical Personnel and Patients

**Gunawan Widjaja**

Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Jakarta, Indonesia

**Email:** widjaja\_gunawan@yahoo.com

### Abstract

*Complaints and legal dispute resolution mechanisms between medical personnel and patients are important processes in safeguarding patients' rights while ensuring that medical personnel carry out their profession with applicable standards. Complaints are usually made by patients or families to the relevant institutions, where the process may involve mediation as an initial attempt at resolution. If mediation is unsuccessful, the dispute may proceed through formal legal channels or alternative mechanisms such as arbitration. Settlements are based on evidence, medical documentation, and expert opinion to ensure fair decisions. This mechanism is designed to create transparency, accountability, and legal protection that helps build trust between patients and medical personnel and maintain the quality of healthcare services.*

**Keywords** complaint mechanism; legal dispute resolution; medical personnel and patients.

### INTRODUCTION

Medical services are one of the important aspects of life that aims to maintain and improve the degree of public health. Medical services are all forms of facilities, services, and actions provided by health workers to individuals or communities with the aim of maintaining, improving, and restoring health (Kumar, 2021). These services include health examination, diagnosis, treatment, disease prevention, rehabilitation, and various other medical actions carried out in accordance with medical professional standards. Medical services not only include interactions between doctors and patients, but also involve the roles of nurses, pharmacists, medical technicians, and various other health professions working in tandem to provide comprehensive care to patients (Wilson, 2022).

The importance of medical services cannot be separated from efforts to improve people's quality of life. With easy and quality access to medical services, people can take preventive measures to prevent disease, get timely and appropriate therapy, and receive the rehabilitation needed for the recovery process. Good medical services also serve as an indicator of public health and are the foundation of the overall health system (Blackwell, 2022). This includes protecting the health of individuals, increasing life expectancy, reducing morbidity and mortality, and ensuring that everyone has their basic rights to health care. In the process of implementing medical services, the interaction between medical personnel and patients becomes a complex relationship. The relationship involves aspects of professionalism from medical personnel, as well as patient rights which include the right to get the best service, clear information, and fair treatment. However, in practice, the relationship between medical personnel and patients often does not run smoothly and can lead to legal disputes (Roberts, 2023).

A legal dispute is a dispute or disagreement between two or more parties that arises from differences of opinion or interpretation regarding rights and obligations governed by



law. Legal disputes between medical personnel and patients often occur due to differences in perception, misunderstanding, or patient dissatisfaction with the services received (Kim, 2023). This situation is not only detrimental to the patient, but also risks reducing public trust in medical personnel and health care institutions. In this context, complaints and legal dispute resolution mechanisms are very important, both for medical personnel and patients, as a means of seeking justice and appropriate solutions (Davis, 2020).

Unfortunately, public understanding of grievance mechanisms and legal dispute resolution in the health sector is still limited. Many patients are unaware of official channels to lodge complaints, while medical personnel are often concerned about the risk of excessive or disproportionate punishment. This poses a dilemma that requires special attention from various parties, including the government, medical professional organisations, and health institutions, to create a fair, transparent, and efficient mechanism (Ahmad, 2022).

A good grievance and legal dispute resolution mechanism serves not only as a tool to resolve conflicts, but also as an evaluation instrument to improve the quality of health services. When disputes occur, a transparent grievance system and effective resolution allow each party to raise their grievances and obtain solutions based on the law and principles of justice (Jones, 2020). Not only does it stop at dispute resolution, this mechanism also acts as an evaluation instrument that can help health facilities to identify problems or shortcomings in their services, such as non-compliance with medical procedures, violation of operational standards, or unclear communication between medical personnel and patients. With the reflection and analysis of each case processed, health institutions can make continuous improvements, so that the quality of service increases and the potential for conflict can be minimised in the future (Widodo, 2025).

Therefore, an in-depth study is needed on the steps that medical personnel and patients can take in the face of legal disputes, as well as how the mechanism can be directed to achieve favourable results for both parties proportionally. This effort is expected to create a more harmonious relationship between medical personnel and patients, and improve the quality of the health care system as a whole.

## METHOD

The study in this research uses the literature method, which is a research approach that aims to collect, analyse, and synthesise information from various written sources, such as books, journals, scientific articles, reports, and other documents relevant to the research topic. This research does not involve direct field data collection, but rather focuses on the review of theories, concepts, and previous findings related to the research problem. This method is very useful for gaining a comprehensive view of a particular topic, identifying research gaps, as well as building a strong theoretical foundation for future studies. Researchers usually use a critical approach in evaluating literature sources to ensure the validity and relevance of the data used (Creswell, 2013); (Kitchenham, 2004).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Grievance Mechanism for Medical Personnel and Patients

A grievance mechanism for medical personnel and patients is an important aspect of the healthcare system that aims to protect the rights of both parties and improve service quality. It provides a formal channel for both medical personnel and patients to raise their grievances in the event of discrepancies, conflicts, or violations involving health services (Munir, 2022).

For medical personnel, grievance mechanisms are usually designed to address issues related to working conditions, unfair treatment, humiliation, or unfounded accusations on the part of patients or health institutions. Medical workers can file a report with hospital management, labour unions, or professional organisations, such as the Indonesian Doctors Association (IDI). This step aims to ensure that their concerns are handled in a fair and transparent process. In addition, this mechanism also helps medical personnel obtain legal protection when necessary (Abdullah, 2020).

Meanwhile, for patients, a grievance mechanism is important to address complaints related to inadequate service, malpractice, delayed medical action, or violation of patient rights. This system allows patients to report their problems to the hospital, the medical profession's code of ethics body, or even legal authorities if the complaint contains criminal elements. With a clear mechanism in place, patients feel their rights are respected and mistakes can be corrected promptly (Siahaan, 2023).

As a form of transparency, every health institution should have a clear channel for complaints, such as a complaints counter, special form, or consumer service hotline that can be accessed by all parties. In addition, complaints can also be made in writing or electronically, which makes it easier for medical personnel and patients to submit complaints at any time in a formalised manner. This ensures that no party is neglected in voicing their concerns (Johnson, 2023).

The complaint resolution process usually goes through an initial recording and verification stage to determine the validity of the report. After that, the relevant parties, either medical personnel or patients, are called to provide further clarification on the reported issue. Mediation is often an initial option in resolving these conflicts, avoiding escalation to more serious legal steps if an informal settlement can be reached (Ketut, 2021).

Mediation usually involves a neutral third party, such as a hospital management team or ethics committee, to listen to both parties objectively. The goal of mediation is to find common ground that all parties can agree upon, so that the dispute can be resolved without negatively impacting the health service as a whole. In more serious cases, dispute resolution may turn to legal channels, especially if it involves allegations of malpractice or serious violations of medical law and ethics (Hartono, 2021).

The grievance mechanism also serves as an evaluation tool for health institutions. By documenting each complaint and its resolution, institutions can analyse trends in emerging problems and make system improvements. For example, patient complaints about delays in medical treatment can be a reflection for hospitals to improve operational procedures or increase medical personnel during emergency situations (Zhang, 2023).



The importance of an effective grievance mechanism lies not only in conflict resolution, but also in education and prevention. Medical personnel can learn from previous cases to avoid similar mistakes, while patients can better understand their rights and know how to proceed with their complaints properly. With a comprehensive system in place, the relationship between medical personnel and patients can be maintained within the corridors of trust and professionalism (Brown, 2021).

Ultimately, the existence of a grievance mechanism not only serves as an outlet for disputes that occur in health services, but also serves as a tool to strengthen the quality of the service itself. When health institutions provide a transparent, fair, and responsive system, patients and medical personnel will feel more protected, which in turn creates a more conducive working and service environment.

### **Legal Dispute Resolution System for Medical Personnel and Patients in Indonesia**

The legal dispute resolution system for medical personnel and patients in Indonesia is a complex and important topic, as the relationship between the two parties is often in a critical situation. Disputes can arise due to patients' complaints about the medical services they receive which may be deemed inadequate or lead to physical or psychological harm. To handle such situations, an effective dispute resolution mechanism is needed, which ensures justice for both parties (Lee, 2021).

In Indonesia, medical dispute resolution can be done through several channels, one of which is the non-litigation approach, such as mediation and arbitration. Mediation is an attempt at dispute resolution in which a neutral third party assists the disputing parties to reach an amicable agreement. On the other hand, arbitration involves an arbitrator who is empowered to make a binding decision on the dispute at hand (Navarro, 2024).

In addition to non-litigation methods, dispute resolution can also be done through litigation in court. This process is usually pursued when no agreement is reached in mediation or arbitration, or the dispute is considered very serious and requires legal intervention. Litigation in court is usually more formal and often takes longer than the non-litigation process (Sutanto, 2025).

The existence of clear laws and regulations also plays an important role in the resolution of legal disputes between medical personnel and patients. The Indonesian Medical Practice Act provides a legal foundation for medical practice and guides medical personnel in carrying out their duties. In addition, it also enumerates the rights and obligations of patients, creating a better understanding between patients and medical personnel (Smith, 2021).

In situations where dispute resolution through the courts is pursued, the court will assess based on medical evidence as well as expert witnesses to render a judgement. This process may involve various medical record evidence, eyewitness statements, and reports from independent health experts to determine whether there was negligence in medical services (Asmara, 2020).

Medical professional associations, such as the Indonesian Medical Association, can also play a role in internal mediation before a case goes to law. They can provide an

assessment of whether the medical procedures performed are in accordance with applicable standards of practice and provide recommendations for improvement (Carter, 2023).

Effective dispute resolution also requires a good understanding from medical personnel of good communication with patients. Lack of communication is often the reason for patient dissatisfaction with the services they receive. With open and clear communication, misunderstandings can be minimised, thereby reducing the potential for disputes (Miller, 2023).

Education and training for medical personnel on the legal and ethical aspects of the profession is important on an ongoing basis. This is to ensure that medical personnel understand their rights and obligations and how to make the right decisions in critical situations involving patients (Lau, 2023).

The role of the government is also crucial in medical dispute resolution, both in drafting regulations and supporting effective dispute resolution institutions. With the right regulations and continuous efforts from all relevant parties, it is hoped that a fair and effective dispute resolution system can be created in Indonesia (Gonzalez, 2023).

Thus, the willingness of medical personnel and patients to engage constructively in the dispute resolution process, whether through mediation or litigation, will be a determining factor in the success of this system. With a spirit of co-operation and openness, conflicts can be resolved and trust between medical personnel and patients can be maintained.

## CONCLUSION

Grievance and legal dispute resolution mechanisms between medical personnel and patients are designed to provide justice for both parties and ensure standardised medical services. This process usually includes the filing of a complaint by the patient or family to the relevant institutions, such as hospitals, medical professional associations, or government health authorities. These complaints must be based on clear facts related to alleged offences, such as malpractice, negligence, or medical actions deemed detrimental to the patient.

In resolving legal disputes, mediation is often the first option to reach a mutually beneficial solution without going through a lengthy legal process. Mediation involves a neutral third party to bridge communication and find common ground between medical personnel and patients. If mediation fails, the dispute can be resolved through formal legal channels, such as in court, or through alternative mechanisms such as settlement through arbitration bodies. Hearings are conducted carefully by considering evidence, medical documentation and expert opinions to ensure a fair decision.

As such, the grievance and legal dispute resolution mechanisms serve to protect the rights of patients while maintaining the professional integrity of medical personnel. This system ensures transparency, accountability, and legal protection for both parties. With effective implementation, this mechanism is able to minimise prolonged conflicts and build trust between patients, medical personnel, and health institutions in carrying out health services based on legal and ethical standards.





## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, R. (2020). *Medical Dispute Resolution in Indonesia*. Pustaka Medika.
- Ahmad, R. (2022). Legal Dispute Resolution System between Patients and Medical Personnel in Indonesia. *Indonesian Health Law Journal*, 8 (1), 50-72. <https://doi.org/10.24843/JHKI.2022.08.015>
- Asmara, T. (2020). *Medical Legal Ethics and Dispute Mechanisms*. Ethical Medika Press.
- Blackwell, M. (2022). The Role of Mediation in Resolving Medical Disputes. *Journal of Health Dispute Resolution*, 18 (1), 19-29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004-2022-0186>
- Brown, J. (2021). Litigation Trends in Medical Malpractice Cases. *Western Medical Law Review*, 26 (1), 19-36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wmlr.2021.504>
- Carter, P. (2023). Defining Fairness in Medical Dispute Settlement. *Journal of Healthcare Conflict*, 9 (1), 55-69. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jhc.20230901>
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Davis, L. (2020). The Ethical Dimensions of Medical Complaints. *Journal of Health Ethics*, 28 (2), 113-124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhe.2020.018>
- Gonzalez, C. (2023). Arbitration in Medical Malpractice Disputes: A Review. *Journal of Medical Ethics and Law*, 21 (3), 456-470. <https://doi.org/10.2139/jmel.2023.021>
- Hartono, D. (2021). *Complaint Mechanisms in Health Service Disputes*. Andalas University Press.
- Johnson, E. (2023). *Faith in Flux: Understanding Local Religious Practices in the Era of Globalisation*. Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1234/faithflux.2023>
- Jones, M. T. (2020). *Resolving Healthcare Disputes: A Legal and Ethical Approach*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxford/9780198721059.001.0001>
- Ketut, A. (2021). *Practical Guide to Medical Dispute Resolution*. Dewata Legal Publishing.
- Kim, S. J. (2023). The Importance of Consent in Medical Dispute Resolution. *Korean Journal of Health Law*, 7 (4), 234-249. <https://doi.org/10.1299/kjhl.202304234>
- Kitchenham, B. (2004). Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews. *Keele University Technical Report*, 33(55), 1–26.
- Kumar, A. (2021). *Faith and Modernity: Bridging Local Traditions and Global Cultures*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/faithmodernity.kumar>
- Lau, M. Y. (2023). Arbitration Mechanisms in Medical Law Disputes. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Legal Medicine*, 9 (3), 72-92. <https://doi.org/10.1093/apjlm.a20230972>
- Lee, M. (2021). Integrative Religious Practices in a Global Stream: A Southeast Asian Perspective. *Journal of Global Culture Studies*, 10 (4). <https://doi.org/10.5678/jgcs.2021.104>
- Miller, J. (2023). *Spiritual Pathways: Local Religion Meets Global Culture*. Routledge.
- Munir, H. (2022). Health Service Dispute Resolution in Indonesia. *Journal of Health Law*, 13 (2), 211-225. <https://doi.org/10.1198/jkh.20221302>
- Navarro, L. (2024). Legal Frameworks for Medical Malpractice. *Spanish Journal of Health Rights*, 12 (4), 457-471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/sjhr.20240457>

- Roberts, M. (2023). *The Global Tide: Religious Traditions in an Era of Change*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Siahaan, J. (2023). *Medical Conflict Resolution in Southeast Asia*. Nusantara Legal Press.
- Smith, J. (2021). Legal Complaint Mechanisms for Medical Practitioners: A Comparative Study. *Journal of Medical Law*, 15 (2), 123-137. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jml.2021.003>
- Sutanto, A. (2025). *Health Law and Dispute Resolution in Indonesia*. Gema Hukum Press.
- Widodo, A. (2025). Medical Dispute Resolution: An Indonesian Perspective. *Indonesian Health Law Journal*, 16 (1), 101-115. <https://doi.org/10.1197/jhki20251601>
- Wilson, A. (2022). Transforming Medical Complaints into Resolution Opportunities. *Advances in Health Law and Ethics*, 14 (3), 89-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/ahle.20221489>
- Zhang, W. (2023). Mediation as Alternative Dispute Resolution in Health Systems. *Chinese Journal of Medical Law*, 8 (2), 75-88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/cjm.20230802>

