

Community Participation in Village Development Planning Meetings in Tambayoli Village, Soyo Jaya District, North Morowali Regency

Hasanuddin¹, Nasir Manggasing², Abdul Rivai³, Ika Rezky Handayani⁴, Ma'mun Hamsa⁵,
Neni Triani⁶, Dandan Haryono^{7*}

Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia

E-mail: hasanuddn.untad@gmail.com¹, nasirmanngasing@gmail.com², arlologau@gmail.com³,
ikarezkyhandayani@gmail.com⁴, mamunhamsa@gmail.com⁵, nenitriani@gmail.com⁶,
dandanharyono@gmail.com^{7*}

Abstract

This study aims to explore and understand the dynamics of community participation in the village development planning process (Musrenbangdes) in Tambayoli Village, Soyo Jaya District, North Morowali Regency. Using a qualitative approach, data were collected through purposive sampling, open data collection methods, and analysis of both textual and visual materials. The primary methods of data collection included participatory observation, in-depth interviews, and document review. The findings reveal that, although some awareness of Musrenbangdes has been achieved through existing announcement systems, the overall effectiveness of community participation remains limited. Many residents perceive the process as a formality, with decisions dominated by specific stakeholders, leading to low levels of trust and engagement. The study identifies significant barriers to active participation, such as inadequate information dissemination, lack of transparency, and limited involvement in both the implementation and benefit-sharing of development projects. The research suggests that for Musrenbangdes to be more effective, there needs to be a concerted effort to enhance transparency, ensure equitable information distribution, and create a more inclusive participatory framework. These findings have implications for improving governance and promoting sustainable development at the village level.

Keywords Community Participation, Village Development Planning, Musrenbangdes, Public Involvement, Tambayoli Village.

INTRODUCTION

Community participation is widely recognized as a critical factor in achieving sustainable development, particularly in rural areas where local knowledge and engagement are essential for effective governance. In Indonesia, the village development planning meeting, known as Musrenbangdes, serves as a formal platform for local communities to contribute to the decision-making processes that shape the development trajectory of their villages. The success of Musrenbangdes in fostering meaningful participation, however, varies significantly across different regions, with some areas experiencing more robust engagement than others.

Tambayoli Village, located in the Soyo Jaya District of North Morowali Regency, is a case in point where community participation in Musrenbangdes has been less than optimal. This study seeks to understand the underlying factors that influence community participation in Tambayoli, with the aim of identifying strategies to improve the effectiveness of Musrenbangdes as a tool for participatory development.

Despite the established role of Musrenbangdes in promoting community involvement in development planning, several challenges have been observed in Tambayoli Village.



These challenges include a lack of awareness among residents about the importance and processes of Musrenbangdes, limited access to information, and the dominance of certain groups in decision-making, which marginalizes broader community input. Additionally, there is a perception among many villagers that their participation does not significantly influence the outcomes of development projects, leading to apathy and low engagement.

This study has three primary objectives:

1. To identify the factors that affect the level of community participation in Musrenbangdes in Tambayoli Village.
2. To analyze how community participation impacts the effectiveness of village development planning and implementation.
3. To propose recommendations for enhancing community involvement in Musrenbangdes to ensure more inclusive and effective development outcomes.

Understanding the dynamics of community participation in village development planning is crucial for several reasons. First, it enables policymakers and local governments to tailor their approaches to the unique needs and conditions of rural communities, ensuring that development initiatives are more responsive and sustainable. Second, it contributes to the broader discourse on participatory governance by providing empirical insights from a specific context. Lastly, the findings of this study have practical implications for improving the governance of Tambayoli Village and potentially other similar rural settings in Indonesia.

To achieve the objectives outlined above, this study seeks to answer the following research questions:

1. What are the key barriers to effective community participation in Musrenbangdes in Tambayoli Village?
2. How does the level of community participation influence the success of village development projects?
3. What strategies can be implemented to improve community engagement in Musrenbangdes?

This research is grounded in theories of participatory governance and community empowerment. Participatory governance emphasizes the involvement of citizens in the decision-making processes that affect their lives, particularly in the context of local government and community development. Community empowerment, on the other hand, focuses on enhancing the capacity of individuals and groups to make choices and transform those choices into desired actions and outcomes.

The study is limited to Tambayoli Village in the Soyo Jaya District, focusing on the Musrenbangdes process. While the findings may have broader implications for similar rural settings, the specific cultural, social, and economic context of Tambayoli should be considered when generalizing the results.

This paper is structured as follows: The introduction provides an overview of the research background, problem statement, objectives, and significance. The literature review examines existing studies on community participation and participatory governance. The methodology outlines the research design, data collection methods, and analysis techniques. The results and discussion section presents the findings of the study and analyzes them in

the context of the theoretical framework. Finally, the conclusion summarizes the key findings, discusses their implications, and provides recommendations for future research and practice.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptualizing Community Participation

Community participation refers to the active involvement of individuals and groups in the decision-making processes that affect their lives. It is a cornerstone of democratic governance and is essential for ensuring that development initiatives are responsive to the needs and aspirations of the people they are intended to serve. According to Sumarto (2006), participation is a process that facilitates better interaction among stakeholders, enabling the creation of innovative agreements and actions within a deliberative framework.

Participation can take various forms, ranging from passive involvement, where individuals are merely informed about decisions, to active engagement, where they have a significant influence on the outcomes. Made Pidarta (2009) defines participation as the involvement of mental, emotional, and physical aspects of individuals in a group setting, contributing to the achievement of collective goals and shared responsibilities.

The Role of Musrenbangdes in Village Development

Musrenbangdes, as a formal platform for village development planning in Indonesia, plays a crucial role in facilitating community participation. It provides an opportunity for villagers to voice their concerns, propose development initiatives, and contribute to the decision-making process. However, the effectiveness of Musrenbangdes in achieving these objectives is contingent on several factors, including the level of community awareness, access to information, and the inclusiveness of the process.

Research by Adisasmita (2006) highlights that community participation in Musrenbangdes is often limited by a lack of empowerment and inadequate mechanisms for engaging marginalized groups. This results in a process that, while participatory in theory, often fails to incorporate the voices of the most vulnerable members of the community.

Challenges to Effective Participation

Several challenges to effective community participation have been identified in the literature. These include socio-economic barriers, such as low levels of education and income, which can limit individuals' ability to engage in complex planning processes. Additionally, cultural norms and power dynamics within communities can impede the participation of certain groups, such as women, the elderly, and the disabled.

Effendi and Irene (2009) discuss the concept of vertical and horizontal participation, where vertical participation refers to the involvement of communities in programs initiated by external actors, often in a top-down manner, while horizontal participation involves grassroots initiatives where communities take the lead. The former often results in limited agency for participants, while the latter can empower communities to take control of their development agendas.



Principles of Participatory Governance

The principles of participatory governance, as outlined by the Department for International Development (DFID) (2004), include inclusivity, transparency, accountability, and empowerment. These principles are essential for creating a participatory process that is equitable and effective. Inclusivity ensures that all stakeholders, particularly those who are marginalized, have a voice in the process. Transparency fosters trust by making the process open and accessible to all participants. Accountability ensures that those in power are answerable to the community, while empowerment builds the capacity of individuals and groups to participate meaningfully.

Strategies for Enhancing Participation

To address the challenges identified above, several strategies for enhancing community participation in Musrenbangdes have been proposed. These include increasing community awareness through education and outreach, improving access to information by utilizing digital platforms, and creating more inclusive processes by actively involving marginalized groups.

Research by Wang and Smith (2018) emphasizes the importance of collaborative governance, where multiple stakeholders work together to achieve common goals. This approach not only enhances participation but also builds social capital and strengthens the capacity of communities to engage in future development initiatives.

METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design, which is particularly suited to exploring the complex and context-specific dynamics of community participation in village development planning. A case study approach was chosen to provide an in-depth examination of the Musrenbangdes process in Tambayoli Village, Soyo Jaya District.

Data were collected using a combination of participatory observation, in-depth interviews, and document analysis. Participatory observation involved the researcher actively engaging in Musrenbangdes meetings to observe the interactions and dynamics among participants. In-depth interviews were conducted with key informants, including village leaders, community members, and representatives of marginalized groups, to gain insights into their experiences and perceptions of the Musrenbangdes process. Document analysis involved reviewing relevant planning documents, meeting minutes, and other official records to understand the formal aspects of the process.

Purposive sampling was used to select informants who were knowledgeable about the Musrenbangdes process and who represented a diverse range of perspectives, including both active participants and those who were less involved.

Thematic analysis was employed to identify and analyze patterns within the data. This involved coding the data to identify key themes related to community participation, such as barriers to participation, the role of power dynamics, and the effectiveness of

Musrenbangdes in facilitating inclusive development planning. The analysis was iterative, with themes being refined and redefined as new data were collected and analyzed.

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board, and informed consent was secured from all participants. The study ensured confidentiality and anonymity for all informants, and participants were given the opportunity to withdraw from the study at any time.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Community Awareness and Access to Information

The study found that community awareness of Musrenbangdes in Tambayoli Village is relatively low. While announcements are made by village authorities, the dissemination of information is often inconsistent, leading to a lack of timely and comprehensive knowledge among residents. Many villagers reported that they were unaware of the schedule and agenda of Musrenbangdes meetings, which hindered their ability to participate effectively.

The limited access to information is particularly pronounced among marginalized groups, such as women, the elderly, and individuals with disabilities. These groups often rely on informal communication channels, such as word of mouth, which are less reliable and inclusive. As a result, their participation in Musrenbangdes is minimal, and their voices are often excluded from the decision-making process.

Power Dynamics and Decision-Making

The analysis revealed that the decision-making process in Musrenbangdes is dominated by a small group of influential individuals, including village leaders and local elites. This concentration of power undermines the principles of participatory governance and reduces the effectiveness of Musrenbangdes as a platform for inclusive development planning. Many villagers expressed frustration that their input was not considered in the final decisions, leading to a sense of disillusionment and apathy towards the process.

The dominance of certain stakeholders also perpetuates existing power imbalances within the community. For example, women and other marginalized groups are often sidelined in discussions, with their contributions being undervalued or ignored. This not only limits their ability to influence development outcomes but also reinforces social hierarchies that exclude them from meaningful participation.

Implementation of Development Projects

The study found that community involvement in the implementation of development projects resulting from Musrenbangdes is limited. While some villagers participate in meetings and discussions, their engagement in the actual execution of projects is often passive. This is partly due to a lack of clarity about their roles and responsibilities, as well as a perception that their involvement would not significantly impact the outcome.



Furthermore, the study identified several logistical barriers to active participation in project implementation. These include a lack of resources, such as time and financial support, as well as challenges related to coordination and communication among stakeholders. As a result, many projects are implemented without the active involvement of the community, which can lead to suboptimal outcomes and a lack of ownership among beneficiaries.

Benefit-Sharing and Equity

The findings indicate that the benefits of development projects initiated through Musrenbangdes are not evenly distributed among the community. While some groups, particularly those with close ties to village authorities, receive significant benefits, others are left out. This inequitable distribution of benefits has exacerbated existing social inequalities and has contributed to a growing sense of resentment among those who feel marginalized.

To address these issues, the study suggests that greater attention should be given to ensuring that all community members have an opportunity to benefit from development initiatives. This could be achieved through more inclusive planning processes, as well as targeted efforts to involve marginalized groups in both the decision-making and implementation phases.

Evaluation and Feedback Mechanisms

The study found that the evaluation of development projects in Tambayoli Village is often conducted in a closed and non-transparent manner. While formal evaluation processes exist, they are typically dominated by village authorities, with little input from the broader community. This lack of transparency and inclusivity undermines the credibility of the evaluation process and limits its effectiveness in identifying areas for improvement.

To enhance the evaluation process, the study recommends the adoption of more participatory evaluation methods, such as community surveys and focus group discussions. These methods would allow for a broader range of perspectives to be considered, thereby ensuring that the evaluation process is more reflective of the community's needs and priorities.

The findings of this study highlight several key challenges to effective community participation in Musrenbangdes in Tambayoli Village. These challenges include a lack of awareness and access to information, power dynamics that favor certain stakeholders, limited involvement in project implementation, inequitable benefit-sharing, and non-transparent evaluation processes.

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that includes improving information dissemination, enhancing transparency and accountability, and creating more inclusive participatory processes. By doing so, Musrenbangdes can become a more effective tool for promoting sustainable and equitable development in Tambayoli Village and similar rural settings.

CONCLUSION

This study has provided valuable insights into the dynamics of community participation in Musrenbangdes in Tambayoli Village, Soyo Jaya District, North Morowali Regency. The findings highlight several critical challenges that hinder effective participation, including limited awareness, power imbalances, and inequitable benefit-sharing. These challenges not only undermine the effectiveness of Musrenbangdes as a tool for participatory development but also contribute to a growing sense of disillusionment and apathy among villagers.

To address these challenges, the study recommends several strategies for enhancing community participation in Musrenbangdes. These include improving access to information, particularly for marginalized groups, creating more inclusive decision-making processes, and ensuring that the benefits of development projects are distributed more equitably. Additionally, the study suggests that more participatory evaluation methods be adopted to ensure that the voices of all community members are heard and considered in the assessment of development outcomes.

The implications of these findings are significant for policymakers, local governments, and development practitioners working in rural areas. By addressing the barriers to effective participation identified in this study, it is possible to create more inclusive and responsive development processes that better meet the needs of all community members.

In conclusion, while Musrenbangdes has the potential to be a powerful tool for participatory development, its effectiveness is currently limited by several structural and procedural challenges. By implementing the recommendations outlined in this study, it is possible to enhance the effectiveness of Musrenbangdes and promote more sustainable and equitable development outcomes in Tambayoli Village and beyond.

REFERENCES

- Adisasmita, R. (2006). *Decentralization and Local Governance*. Yogyakarta Press.
- Bai, Y., & Chen, L. (2019). Stakeholder Engagement in Urban Planning. *Urban Planning Review*, 15(4), 220-235.
- Effendi, E., & Irene, I. (2009). *E-Government Implementation in Local Administration*. Yogyakarta Press.
- Fadil, F., & Thubany, T. (2013). *Social Inclusion in Urban Governance*. Bandung Publishing.
- Iqbal, M., & Rahman, A. (2021). Enhancing Transparency in Governance. *Governance and Policy Journal*, 8(1), 75-88.
- Irene, I. (2009). *Citizen Participation in Local Decision-Making*. Bandung Publishing.
- Ndraha, T. (1990). *Local Governance and Development*. Jakarta Press.
- Nierras, N., Gaevanta, A., & Valderama, B. (2002). *Participatory Budgeting in Local Government*. Manila Press.
- Rasheed, N., & Ali, K. (2020). Community Participation in Local Governance. *Local Governance Journal*, 5(3), 112-125.



- Rohman, C., & Pidarta, M. (2009). Community Empowerment and Governance. Surabaya Publishing.
- Rusidi, R., & Siregar, S. (2001). Public-Private Partnerships in Infrastructure Development. Medan Publishing.
- Sembodo, S. (2006). Good Governance Practices in Developing Countries. Jakarta Press.
- Sumampouw, R. (2004). Collaborative Planning for Sustainable Development. Makassar Publishing.
- Wang, J., & Smith, A. (2018). Collaborative Governance in Public Administration. *Journal of Public Administration*, 10(2), 45-60.
- Babbie, E. (1990). *Praktik Penelitian Sosial* (edisi ke-6). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Bungin, B. (2001). *Metodologi Penelitian Sosial: Format-format Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif dengan Studi Kasus*. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.
- Creswell, J. W. (2010). *Desain Penelitian: Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kuantitatif, dan Metode Campuran* (edisi ke-3). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Sanafiah, T. (1995). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada.